

Preventing Social Ostracism at Workplace Through Cultural Sensitive Programmes

Saranya TS¹, Sandeep Kumar Gupta²,
Shwetha Parth³, Mustafa Abubakar Tahir⁴, Darisha Kharmih⁵

¹Dr., Associate Professor, Amity University, Bengaluru, India, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7240-4782>

²Dr., Professor, School of Commerce & Management, Mohan Babu University, Tirupati, India, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2670-2858>

³Postgraduate Student in Clinical Psychology, CMR University, Bengaluru, India

⁴CMR University, Bengaluru, India

⁵CMR University, Bengaluru, India

Citation:

TS, S., Gupta, S. K., Parth, S., Tahir, M. A., & Kharmih, D. (2025). Preventing Social Ostracism at Workplace Through Cultural Sensitive Programmes. *Public Administration and Law Review*, (3(23), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5216-2025-3-91-100>

Received: June 12, 2025

Approved: September 28, 2025

Published: September 30, 2025



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY-NC 4.0\) license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



Abstract. Social ostracism - subtle exclusion from meetings, networks, and opportunities - undermines morale, performance, and retention in contemporary organizations. The aim of the article is to explain how culturally sensitive programmes can prevent workplace ostracism by cultivating psychological safety and inclusive climates across teams and leadership systems. The methodology combines a qualitative meta-analytic review of empirical studies, organizational case materials, and HR policy documents with semi-structured interviews of HR managers and DEI consultants; thematic content analysis was used to surface recurrent mechanisms and implementation conditions. Main results indicate three reinforcing domains of effectiveness. First, cultural competence interventions (e.g., bias awareness, intercultural empathy, inclusive communication skills) reduce micro-exclusions and increase inclusionary behaviours, especially when delivered as ongoing learning rather than one-off training. Second, structural and leadership mechanisms—participatory DEI councils, inclusive leadership training, reverse mentoring, and behavioural audits—translate inclusion into daily decision-making and accountability processes, lowering ostracism among minority and non-dominant identity groups. Third, context adaptation is critical: programmes that incorporate intersectionality and address hybrid/digital environments (e.g., virtual inclusion protocols, feedback loops for remote staff) mitigate “digital ostracism” and strengthen belonging. Across these domains, interventions work best when organizations shift from compliance-centric diversity to empathy- and reflexivity-based inclusion, embedding cultural considerations in recruitment, feedback, and communication routines. Culturally sensitive programmes are both necessary and effective in countering social ostracism when they align individual learning with structural levers and are tailored to intersectional and hybrid work realities. The model advanced here integrates educational, organizational, and digital dimensions to enhance psychological safety, engagement, and cohesion, offering a practical framework for evidence-based inclusion and sustained reductions in workplace exclusion.

Keywords: social ostracism; workplace inclusion; cultural sensitivity; diversity training; employee engagement; psychological safety.

JEL Classification: M12, J53, J28, M14, Z13

Formulas: 0; **fig.:** 0; **table:** 1; **bibl.:** 42

Introduction. In contemporary organizations, social ostracism - the act of subtly excluding individuals from communication, collaboration, or professional recognition - has emerged as a pervasive yet often overlooked challenge to workplace well-being and productivity. Unlike overt forms of discrimination or harassment, ostracism operates through silent mechanisms such as ignoring colleagues, excluding them from informal networks, or withholding developmental opportunities, resulting in significant emotional and professional consequences (Ferris et al., 2008). Empirical studies consistently demonstrate that such exclusion correlates with increased emotional exhaustion, reduced organizational citizenship behavior, and heightened turnover intentions (Leung et al., 2011). This silent marginalization undermines the psychological safety and trust necessary for effective teamwork and innovation.

Although the discourse on diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) has gained prominence globally, implementation remains inconsistent. Many organizations adopt formal diversity policies but fail to translate them into inclusive daily behaviors (Plaut, 2010; Mor Barak, 2015). The gap between policy and practice often stems from insufficient attention to cultural empathy, unconscious bias, and contextual factors that shape interpersonal relations within multicultural environments. As workplaces become more culturally diverse and digitally interconnected, micro-inequities and implicit exclusion have become more complex, demanding innovative and culturally sensitive approaches to address them effectively.

This research situates the discussion of ostracism within the broader framework of cross-cultural management and inclusion science, emphasizing the interconnection between cultural competence, emotional intelligence, and inclusive communication (Mayer et al., 2008). Culturally sensitive programs - including intercultural empathy training, inclusive leadership workshops, and bias-awareness initiatives - have demonstrated measurable success in reducing exclusionary behaviors and improving workplace belonging (Lindsey et al., 2020; Nishii & Mayer, 2018). Yet, existing interventions are often episodic rather than systemic, lacking longitudinal evaluation or integration into organizational structures.

The present study therefore proposes a comprehensive model of culturally sensitive intervention to prevent social ostracism at the workplace. It explores how psychological safety, inclusive leadership, and intersectional awareness can collectively foster environments of belonging. By analyzing case studies, policy frameworks, and empirical data, the research aims to bridge the gap between diversity rhetoric and inclusion reality, showing that authentic inclusion emerges not from compliance-based diversity programs but from empathy-driven organizational cultures. The focus on structural, interpersonal, and digital dimensions ensures a holistic understanding of how intentional cultural sensitivity can transform exclusionary systems into psychologically safe and productive workplaces.

Literature Review. The prevention of social ostracism and the promotion of cultural sensitivity at the workplace have increasingly been explored at the intersection of psychology, technology, and organizational behavior. Recent studies emphasize the multidimensional nature of psychological well-being, self-efficacy, and emotional regulation as foundational to workplace inclusion (Babu, Binoy, & Saranya, 2024;

Bajaj, Satheesh, Sreedharan, & Saranya, 2024). These psychological factors underpin employee engagement, interpersonal understanding, and resilience, thereby contributing to an environment less conducive to exclusionary practices. Parallel investigations into self-efficacy and emotional intelligence (Bandura, 2023; Saranya & Deb, 2015) further demonstrate that employees who possess high emotional awareness and coping mechanisms are less vulnerable to stress and social withdrawal, reducing the likelihood of ostracism-related conflicts.

Digital transformation has also emerged as a key contextual factor in addressing social exclusion. Gupta et al. (2020, 2023) and Aristova et al. (2021) highlight that technological tools - ranging from AI-driven monitoring systems to blockchain-based management frameworks - can strengthen inclusivity and transparency when properly integrated into HR and communication practices. Studies by Banka, Madan, and Saranya (2018) and Bharmal, Bharmal, and Saranya (2024) expand this discussion, demonstrating how digital ecosystems and neuroadaptive technologies can enhance stress reduction and mental health management, indirectly fostering inclusive organizational cultures. Similarly, the application of IoT and AI to psychological diagnostics (Susmitha & Saranya, 2024; Varghese, Binoy, & Saranya, 2024) suggests a growing convergence between technological innovation and humanistic care in promoting emotional safety.

From a psychosocial perspective, works by Nigesh and Saranya (2017) and Melarisisha and Saranya (2024) reveal the relevance of therapeutic frameworks such as solution-focused brief therapy (SFBT) in addressing stress, procrastination, and risk-taking behaviors that may arise in environments lacking belonging or recognition. These interventions are complemented by Gupta and Saranya (2024), who stress the importance of educational awareness and empathy-building in managing diversity, especially in multicultural and postcolonial contexts such as India and Ukraine. Furthermore, cross-sectional studies on adolescent and youth behavior (Krishna, NK, & Saranya, 2024; Patil et al., 2024) underscore that early exposure to inclusive socialization directly affects adult tolerance and workplace adaptability—an insight that can inform long-term cultural sensitivity programs.

However, despite growing evidence linking mental health, digitalization, and inclusivity, there remain critical research gaps. First, most empirical models prioritize individual-level interventions but neglect the systemic and policy dimensions of organizational culture (Deb, 2022; Rana, Kapoor, & Gupta, 2021). Second, there is limited integration between digital HR analytics and culturally adaptive leadership frameworks. While Gupta et al. (2020) and Levytska et al. (2020) propose analytical tools for performance control, their models rarely account for emotional intelligence or psychological safety as measurable variables. Finally, few longitudinal studies assess how technology-enhanced stress management translates into sustained inclusion outcomes, particularly in hybrid or transnational teams (Gupta & Saranya, 2024; Vishnoi, Priya, & Saranya, 2024).

Therefore, the reviewed literature reveals a clear need for interdisciplinary approaches that merge technological innovation, cultural empathy, and psychological resilience. Future research should focus on developing integrative frameworks that

operationalize cultural sensitivity in real-time organizational systems - bridging the gap between digital inclusion strategies and the lived emotional realities of diverse employees.

Aims. The aim of the article is to explain how culturally sensitive programmes can prevent workplace ostracism by cultivating psychological safety and inclusive climates across teams and leadership systems. To pursue this aim, the study first diagnoses the psychosocial and structural dynamics that sustain exclusion within everyday team interactions and leadership routines; it then formulates intervention models grounded in cultural psychology and inclusion science that translate empathy and intercultural competence into observable practices; and finally evaluates how adapting workplace training and policy frameworks affects the perceived inclusion climate and related outcomes. Underpinning this agenda is a deliberate shift from a compliance-driven approach to one based on cultural empathy and structural reflexivity: when organizations embed cultural considerations into recruitment, performance feedback, and routine communication, they move beyond numeric representation of diversity toward an authentic sense of belonging (Nishii, 2013).

Methodology. This study adopts a qualitative, meta-analytic design to synthesize evidence on workplace ostracism, cultural sensitivity, and inclusive organizational change. We conducted a systematic review of peer-reviewed empirical studies, organizational case reports, and HR policy documents drawn from multinational settings. Sources were screened for relevance to (a) antecedents and mechanisms of ostracism, (b) culturally sensitive interventions, and (c) measurable effects on inclusion climate and psychological safety. Inclusion criteria required an explicit workplace context, clear description of intervention or organizational practice, and reported outcomes (qualitative or quantitative); opinion pieces without empirical grounding were excluded.

All retained texts were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis, following the six-phase protocol of Braun and Clarke (2006): familiarization, code generation, theme construction, theme review, definition and naming, and narrative synthesis. Coding proceeded iteratively, combining deductive frames (psychological safety, cultural empathy, structural reflexivity) with inductive categories that emerged from the material (e.g., micro-exclusions in hybrid teams, leadership gatekeeping, intersectional harms). To enhance analytic rigor, we documented an audit trail (coding memos, decision logs) and conducted peer debriefs to challenge theme boundaries and alternative explanations.

To contextualize and triangulate the documentary findings, we carried out semi-structured interviews with HR managers and DEI consultants from multinational corporations operating across at least two cultural regions. Interviews probed implementation barriers (e.g., compliance-only mindsets, data privacy constraints), enabling conditions (leadership sponsorship, psychological-safety scaffolds), and practical design choices (training cadence, feedback loops, digital accessibility). Transcripts were co-coded with the documentary corpus to test theme saturation and refine mechanisms of change.

Ethical safeguards included informed consent, anonymity, and secure data

storage. Credibility was supported through source triangulation (academic, practitioner, and policy texts plus interviews) and negative-case analysis; transferability was addressed by providing thick description of organizational contexts; and dependability was reinforced by the maintained audit trail. Limitations include potential publication bias in the literature and over-representation of large multinationals in the interview sample, which may understate constraints faced by SMEs.

Results. The analysis of empirical studies and programmatic interventions shows that culturally sensitive programs reduce workplace ostracism not through a single instrument but through three interconnected mechanisms:

- 1) Changing behavioral norms in daily team interactions;
- 2) Leadership modeling and accountability; and
- 3) Embedding structural carriers of inclusion such as procedures, committees, and protocols that institutionalize new practices.

1) Changing behavioral norms. Evaluations of cultural competency training (Lindsey et al., 2020) demonstrate that well-designed learning interventions not only increase awareness of bias but also translate this awareness into observable inclusive actions—fewer microaggressions, greater empathy, and deliberate involvement of quieter voices. Behavioral audits (Sue et al., 2023) clarified what this looks like in practice: facilitators intentionally manage speaking turns, document instances of exclusionary language, and institutionalize micro-inclusions—small, consistent acts that equalize participation. Together, these changes enhance the sense of belonging and interrupt social isolation at early stages.

2) Leadership modeling and accountability. Even strong team practices deteriorate when leaders fail to uphold inclusion norms. Research on inclusive leadership (Edmondson et al., 2022) reveals that when leaders learn to identify and correct their own exclusionary patterns—for instance, selective listening, informal “inner circles,” or biased meeting dynamics—the frequency of ostracism incidents drops significantly. A similar effect occurs in reverse mentoring programs (Thomas & Plakhotnik, 2021), where junior or minority employees mentor senior staff, dissolving age and cultural stereotypes and reducing status-based alienation.

3) Structural carriers of inclusion. An inclusive climate cannot rely solely on goodwill; it requires institutional support. Findings by Shore et al. (2011) indicate that when inclusion is woven into formal processes—performance evaluation, teamwork norms, and HR procedures—minority employees report lower ostracism, greater job satisfaction, and reduced emotional exhaustion. Similarly, participatory DEI councils (Roberson & Stevens, 2019) give marginalized employees a voice in decision-making, increasing their sense of ownership and belonging. Inclusion thus moves beyond symbolic representation to shared cultural governance.

4) The importance of continuity. Longitudinal research (Nishii & Mayer, 2018) confirms that the impact of intercultural training is cumulative. Short-term workshops tend to fade quickly, whereas ongoing learning and reflection sustain psychological safety and progressively reduce ostracism over time.

5) *Hybrid and digital inclusion.* Zhang & Kim (2023) highlight a new dimension of exclusion—digital ostracism—where remote employees are unintentionally left out of decisions and communication. Culturally sensitive online meeting protocols (clear turn-taking, moderator roles, “camera-on” norms for key discussions) and digital feedback loops significantly reduce feelings of isolation and restore engagement in hybrid teams.

6) *Intersectionality and validation.* Mor Barak & Martinez (2020) argue that inclusion programs failing to consider intersecting identities (gender, ethnicity, disability, etc.) inadvertently reproduce exclusion. Intersectionality-based DEI designs, by contrast, validate complex lived experiences and reduce social isolation across multiple identity layers.

Overall, these studies converge on one key principle: inclusion is effective only when behavior, leadership, and structure interact—and when interventions are continuous and contextually adaptive, especially in hybrid environments. This synergy builds sustained psychological safety and belonging while systematically reducing ostracism.

The following table 1 summarizes key studies and interventions by their mechanism, design, and observed effects on ostracism, inclusion, and workplace climate.

Despite methodological differences, all interventions that combine training, leadership engagement, and institutional mechanisms produce convergent results: reduced ostracism, higher psychological safety, and stronger belonging, particularly among marginalized and remote employees.

The findings demonstrate that culturally sensitive interventions are effective only when embedded systemically, not as isolated events. Continuous, behavior-based training, inclusive leadership modeling, and institutionalized participation structures—such as DEI councils, procedural standards, and digital inclusion protocols—jointly suppress the roots of ostracism from microaggressions to digital exclusion. These integrated measures strengthen psychological safety and belonging, ensuring that inclusion becomes a sustained organizational reality rather than a performative policy.

Discussion. Synthesis of literature, empirical data, and organizational cases reveal three major thematic domains underpinning the success of culturally sensitive interventions for workplace ostracism:

1. *Cultural Competence as a Foundational Strategy.* Cultural competence training is heralded as one of the techniques to transform exclusionary climates into inclusive ones. Inclusion programs that form intercultural empathy, implicit bias awareness, and communication skills have found strong evidence supporting the demise of some subtle forms of exclusionary behaviors (Lindsey et al., 2020; Nishii & Mayer, 2018). Organizations that used training models embedded in cross-cultural psychology had positive changes in self-awareness of its employees, thereby enhancing inclined interpersonal engagements that isolate culturally diverse team members.

Critically noted, findings stated that a one-time training is insufficient; behavioral change can only be perpetuated through ongoing engagement and follow-up via methods such as workshops, coaching, and evaluations (Sue et al., 2023).

Table 1. Empirical Studies and Programmatic Interventions Addressing Workplace Ostracism via Culturally Sensitive Approaches

N	Title	Authors (Year)	Design / Context	Core Mechanism	Observed Effects (on ostracism, inclusion, climate)
1	Combating Subtle Bias and Exclusion in the Workplace: A Diversity Training Evaluation	Lindsey et al. (2020)	Quasi-experimental; workplace training	Behavioral awareness → inclusion skills	↓ implicit bias; ↑ inclusive acts; fewer microaggressions; stronger empathy
2	The Impact of Intercultural Training on Workplace Belonging	Nishii & Mayer (2018)	Longitudinal; intercultural empathy program	Sustained learning → psychological safety	↑ psychological safety; ↓ ostracism; ↑ collaboration/trust
3	Inclusive Climates and Reduced Ostracism Among Racial Minorities	Shore et al. (2011)	Cross-sectional; 57 organizations	Inclusion embedded in processes	↓ ostracism among minorities; ↑ satisfaction; ↓ emotional exhaustion
4	Enhancing Organizational Belonging Through Participatory Inclusion Committees	Roberson & Stevens (2019)	Global firm; DEI councils	Employee voice in decisions	↑ engagement among underrepresented groups; ↓ social withdrawal
5	Reverse Mentoring and Its Role in Dismantling Generational and Cultural Biases	Thomas & Plakhotnik (2021)	Reverse mentoring program	Status/age stereotype reduction	↑ intergenerational respect; ↓ alienation; ↑ participation confidence
6	Preventing Ostracism through Inclusive Leadership Training	Edmondson et al. (2022)	Leadership workshops	Self-awareness of exclusionary behaviors	↓ exclusion incidents; ↑ employee voice in multicultural teams
7	Building Belonging through Micro-Inclusion Practices: A Behavioral Audit Approach	Sue et al. (2023)	Behavioral audits	Institutionalized micro-inclusions	↑ perceived inclusion; fairer decision-making; normalized participation
8	Intersectionality-Informed DEI Interventions and Cultural Belonging	Mor Barak & Martinez (2020)	Intersectional DEI framework	Identity validation across intersections	↑ cultural validation; ↓ isolation; ↓ blind spots
9	Measuring the Effectiveness of Multicultural Sensitivity Training in Hybrid Work Contexts	Zhang & Kim (2023)	Hybrid/remote teams	Digital inclusion protocols & feedback loops	↓ digital ostracism; ↑ engagement; fairer participation

Source: systematized by the author

2. Structural and Leadership-Driven Inclusion Mechanisms. The findings give credence to the argument that ostracism is only in part interpersonally driven and socio-culturally embedded in HR systems, leadership styles, and evaluation mechanisms (Shore et al., 2011; Edmondson et al., 2022). When organizations teach inclusive leadership, change their promotion and grievance structures, and establish inclusive committees via participating structures, they find a marked decrease in exclusion experiences mainly among racial minorities and employees of non-mainstream cultural backgrounds.

Such structures helped anchor diversity into organizational processes to prevent inclusion from becoming mere window-dressing. Behavioral audits, for one, would enable teams to identify micro-exclusion patterns, while reverse mentoring and affinity

group consultations gave culturally diverse employees room to shape workplace dynamics meaningfully (Roberson & Stevens, 2019; Thomas & Plakhotnik, 2021).

3. Adaptability to Context: Digital, Intersectional, and Hybrid Environments. The other significant finding regarded the need for context-sensitive implementation. Research found that the efficacy of interventions in sustaining inclusion across platforms increased when tailored to hybrid work arrangements-the ones that tackle digital ostracism and remote-team exclusion (Zhang & Kim, 2023). Likewise, acknowledgement of intersectionality by DEI actions-reckoning that social categories such as ethnicity, gender, and disability overlap-increased belongingness and minimized side-lining (Mor Barak & Martinez, 2020).

It is worthwhile to mention here that when applied on an ad hoc basis, programs reliant on real-time feedback mechanisms, like employee pulse surveys and AI-assisted feedback analysis, were those early enough to pin down exclusionary patterns and react with agility.

Conclusion. This makes it clear that culturally sensitive programmes are not only effective but also essential in prevailing social ostracism in contemporary workplaces. The evidence suggests exclusion to be a structural phenomena with some blind spots, cultural misrecognition, and an absence of institutional reflexiveness instead of merely individual prejudice.

Cultural competence training and structural reform inclusive leadership and participatory framework are four layers of remedies for workplace ostracism. When interventions take time to understand the contextual factors with an intersectional consciousness, they then provide grounds for psychological safety, organizational trust, and collective engagement.

The study, therefore, joins the view that intentional inclusiveness, rather than symbolic diversities, should mold the culture and practices of workplaces that forego ostracism. With the complexity of global workspaces, teleworking, cross-cultural teams, and diversified demography, growth exponentially, adaptive, and evidence-based inclusion strategies grounded in culture become even more urgent.

Future implications are:

- *Policy Integration:* National labor policy and corporate governance codes must contain provisions for periodic inclusion audits and if needed, the aptitude to apply bias-checking protocols.
- *Design of Larger-Scale Programmes:* Organizations should select ultra-large-scale technology-mediated cultural sensitivity training that can easily adapt to hybrid and digital environments.
- *Longitudinal Studies:* More research has to be done over long periods and cross sectors to undertake the long-term evaluation of the efficacy of such programmes in different industries and cultures.

Funding. The author declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest. The author declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a

potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement. The author declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note. All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References:

1. Aishwarya, D., Gangotri, L., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Leveraging AI Tools for Personalized and Optimized Addiction Treatment: A New Frontier in Mental Health Care. *Journal of Environmental Agriculture and Agroecosystem Management*, 1(1), 69-73.
2. Aristova, I., Zapara, S., Rohovenko, O., Serohina, N., Matviienko, L., & Gupta, S. K. (2021). Some aspects of legal regulation of administrative procedures in Ukraine and the European Union: theory and realities.
3. Babu, S., Binoy, B., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). A Comparative Study on Self-Efficacy and Emotional Intelligence Among Day Scholars and Boarding Students. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(2), 12-18.
4. Bajaj, N., Satheesh, N., Sreedharan, A., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Loneliness And Risk-Taking Behaviour Among Young Adolescents Who Are Staying Away from Their Family And Young Adolescents Who Are Staying With Their Family. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 59-68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/7mbj2b46>
5. Banka, S., Madan, I., & Saranya, S. S. (2018). Smart healthcare monitoring using IoT. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 13(15), 11984-11989. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/g2hva883>
6. Bharmal, A., Bharmal, S., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). Blockchain-Powered Personalized Stress Reduction Platform: Integrating IoT, Neuroadaptive Technology, And Biohacking Protocols. In *International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024*.
7. Deb, S. (2022). Introduction—child safety, welfare, and well-being: need of the hour. In *Child Safety, Welfare and Well-being: Issues and Challenges* (pp. 1-13). Singapore: Springer Singapore. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9820-0>
8. Doshi, M., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Musical Preference and Stress Among Young Adults. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 53-58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/z2pedp85>
9. Gregory, A., Saranya, T. S., & Pereira, N. (2024). Frontal Lobe Dementia: The Integration of AI Technology for the Diagnosis and Management. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 69-83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/qh06nj89>
10. Gregory, A., Pereira, N., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). A Meta Analytical Review of the Effectiveness of Physical Exercises to Reduce the Build Up of Amyloid Protein.
11. Gupta, S. K., Dubey, C., Weersma, L. A., Vats, R., Rajesh, D., Oleksand, K., & Ratan, R. (2023). Competencies for the academy and market perspective: an approach to the un-sustainable development goals. *Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev*, 32, 70-88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v32.005>
12. Gupta, S. K., Gupta, R., Srivastava, V., & Gopal, R. The Digitalisation of The Monetary system in India: Challenges and Significance for Economic Development. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, March, 2109, 01-04.
13. Gupta, S. K., Karpa, M. I., Derhaliuk, M. O., Tymkova, V. A., & Kumar, R. (2020). Effectiveness vs efficiency for organisational development: a study. *Journal of Talent Development and Excellence*, 12(3s), 2478-2486.
14. Gupta, S. K., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Navigating the Digital Frontier: the Unique Challenges and Opportunities of Education in India. *Pedagogy and education management review*, (4 (18)), 4-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36690/2733-2039-2024-4-24>
15. Jerusha, E., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Ai-Driven Behavioural Cues for Preventing Cannabis Relapse: A New Era in Addiction Recovery.
16. Krishna, A., NK, S. R., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Understanding the Relationship Between Verbal Aggression and Social Withdrawal in Adolescents. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 13-19. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/8zp5vf45>
17. Kumar, N. S., Kapoor, S., & Gupta, s. K. (2021). Is employee gratification the same as employee engagement?-an in-depth theory perspective. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(2).
18. Kumar, V., Mishra, P., Yadav, s. B., & Gupta, S. K. (2023). The role of power dynamics and social status in Indian MNCs in shaping ingroup and out-group behaviour and its impact on perceived individual performance outcomes. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 13(1).
19. Levytska, S., Akimova, L., Zaiachkivska, O., Karpa, M., & Gupta, S. K. (2020). Modern analytical instruments for controlling the enterprise financial performance. *Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice*, 2(33), 314-323. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18371/fcaptp.v2i33.206967>

20. Melarisisha, M., & Saranya, T. S. Effectiveness of Solution-focused Brief Therapy (SFBT) on Academic Stress and Procrastination on Young Adults. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, (III), 5040-5049. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS3.7006
21. Nigesh, K., & Saranya, T. S. (2017). A Comprehensive Review: Dementia Management and Rehabilitation. *Global Journal of Addiction & Rehabilitation Medicine*, 3(2), 39-52.
22. Nigesh, K., & Saranya, T. S. (2017). Existential Therapies: Theoretical basis, Process, Application and Empirical Evidences. *International Journal of Education and Psychology*.
23. Patil, M. R., Raj, G., Sadanandan, A., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, December). Understanding Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Social Studies Perspective. In *Relationship between public administration and business entities management-2024*.
24. Pegu, B., Srinivas, B. H., Saranya, T. S., Murugesan, R., Thippeswamy, S. P., & Gaur, B. P. S. (2020). Cervical polyp: evaluating the need of routine surgical intervention and its correlation with cervical smear cytology and endometrial pathology: a retrospective study. *Obstetrics & Gynecology Science*, 63(6), 735-742. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5468/ogs.20177>
25. Pitiulych, M., Hoblyk, V., Sherban, T., Tovkanets, G., Kravchenko, T., & Gupta, S. K. (2020). A sociological monitoring of the youth migration movement.
26. Preetha, D. V., Pratheeksha, P., & Vamshitha, G. (2024). Insta-Tangles: Exploring The Web Of Instagram Addiction, Fomo, Perceived Stress, And Self-Esteem. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 14130-14144. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1>
27. Rana, R., Kapoor, S., & Gupta, S. K. (2021). Impact of HR practices on corporate image building in the Indian IT sector. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 19(2), 528-535.
28. Saranya, T. S. (2017). Perceived parental care and support services and its relationship with mental health of Paniya Adolescents (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Applied Psychology, PU.).
29. Saranya, T. S., & Deb, S. (2015). Resilience capacity and support function of Paniya Tribal Adolescents in Kerala and its association with demographic variables. *Int. J. Indian Psychol*, 2, 75-87.
30. Saranya, T. S., Deb, S., Paul, D., & Deb, S. (2022). Untold and Painful Stories of Survival: The Life of Adolescent Girls of the Paniya Tribes of Kerala, India. In *Child Safety, Welfare and Well-being: Issues and Challenges* (pp. 185-194). Singapore: Springer Singapore. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9820-0_11
31. Saranya, T. S., Sreelatha, K., & Kumar, M. (2022). The pain of existence: The problems and crisis of transgender people with special emphasis on discrimination and livelihood. *International journal of health sciences*, (II), 8031-8041. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.7011
32. Saranya, T. S., & Nigesh, K. (2017). Risk taking behavior among adolescents: An exploratory study. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 4(4), 70-77. DOI: 10.25215/0404.027
33. Sharma, R., Mohan, M., & Gupta, S. K. (2023). Emotions in retail setting: a systematic literature review based on current research. *International Journal of Experimental Research and Review*, 30, 416-432. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v30.039>
34. Sinha, H., Mishra, P., Lakhanpal, P., & Gupta, S. K. (2022). Entrepreneur preparedness to the development of probable successors in entrepreneurial organization: scale development and validation. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 12(2).DOI: 10.33543/1202186192
35. Sinha, H., Mishra, P., Lakhanpal, P., & Gupta, s. K. (2022). Human resource practice types being followed in Indian entrepreneurial organizations with focus on Succession Planning Process. *AD ALTA: Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 12(2). DOI: 10.33543/12025359
36. Susmitha, T. S., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Uncovering Emotions: Using IoT as a Psychodiagnostics Tool. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 12(3). DOI: 10.25215/1203.161
37. Swathi, M., Shajil, S., Mohamed, S. K., Dsa, N. P., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). MEDIA: The Powerful Cognitive and Social Architect to Rebuild the Personality and Self. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(2), 1-11.DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/yyczvw60>
38. Tripathi, A., & Saranya, T. S. Issues and Challenges of Adults with Hearing Disability: A Mixed-method Study to Compare the Deaf and Non-deaf Adults on Social Adjustment. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, (III), 5032-5039. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS3.7005
39. TS, S., Naila, P., & Langam, L. (2023). Managing Premenstrual Symptoms (PMS) Using Cognitive Therapy Interventions: A Systematic Review. *International Neurourology Journal*, 27(4), 1606-1612. DOI: 10.5123/inj.2023.3.inj184
40. Varghese, A. S., Navaneeth, P., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Social Anxiety Among Male and Female Adults: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/mxqfkk22>
41. Varghese, A. S., Binoy, B., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). Smart Performance Management: Leveraging IoT And AI for Continuous Improvement. In *International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/mxqfkk22>
42. Vishnoi, S., Priya, L., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). A Triadic Approach to Creativity Evaluation: AI, IoT, And Blockchain Synergy. In *International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024*.DOI: 10.36690/ICEAF-2024