

CHAPTER 3

THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN PSYCHOLOGY

The Role of Journaling in Enhancing Workplace Productivity, Emotional Well-being, and Team Dynamics

Gayathri Raj¹, Jessmon Jayson², Prayag Deshpande³, Nikhil Sood⁴

¹Research Assistant, School of Liberal Studies, CMR University, Bengaluru, India

²M.Sc. Psychology, School of Liberal Studies, CMR University, Bengaluru, India

³M.Sc. Psychology, School of Liberal Studies, CMR University, Bengaluru, India

⁴M.Sc. Psychology, School of Liberal Studies, CMR University, Bengaluru, India

Citation:

Raj, G., Jayson, J., Deshpande, P., & Sood, N. (2025). The Role of Journaling in Enhancing Workplace Productivity, Emotional Well-being, and Team Dynamics. *Public Administration and Law Review*, 3(23), 80–90.
<https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5216-2025-3-80-90>

Received: June 14, 2025

Approved: September 28, 2025

Published: September 30, 2025



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY-NC 4.0\) license](#)



Abstract. In contemporary organizations, escalating cognitive load, constant connectivity, and rising performance demands have intensified burnout risks and weakened sustained focus, prompting interest in low-cost, human-centered interventions that strengthen both productivity and well-being. The aim of the article is to formulate an integrated account of how structured journaling functions at individual, team, and organizational levels to enhance goal clarity, emotional regulation, coordination, and culture. The methodology is a narrative synthesis of scholarship and practice evidence reported in the manuscript, organizing findings around (a) individual mechanisms (stress reduction, metacognition, self-efficacy), (b) team-level dynamics (communication quality, empathy, psychological safety), (c) organizational adaptations (formats, digital tools, leadership modeling), and (d) implementation guidance (routines, prompts, and evidence-of-use considerations). Main results indicate that routine reflective writing supports attentional control and task planning (e.g., bullet or productivity journals), reduces distress via expressive and gratitude journaling, and strengthens self-efficacy through visible progress tracking. At the group level, periodic private reflection and optional sharing improve perspective-taking, reduce ego-driven conflict, and foster psychologically safe dialogue, especially when leaders model reflective practice. Organization-wide, purpose-built formats (gratitude logs, planners, reflective diaries, team journals) and light-touch digital scaffolds increase adherence and translate reflection into measurable gains—clearer workflows, fewer delays, and more intentional communication. Effectiveness depends on consistent prompts, time-bounded rituals, and alignment with values; framing journaling as a voluntary, development-focused habit (rather than a compliance task) improves uptake. Journaling emerges as a scalable, low-cost lever that couples personal clarity with collective learning, yielding a more mindful, resilient, and high-performing workplace. Future work should operationalize evidence-of-use metrics (e.g., cadence, completion, translation into action items) and evaluate longitudinal outcomes linking journaling routines to productivity, retention, and health indicators.

Keywords: journaling; workplace productivity; employee well-being; time management; reflective practice; goal setting.

JEL Classification: M12, J24, I31, M54, D91

Formulas: 0; **fig.:** 0; **table:** 3; **bibl.:** 90

Introduction. In today's fast-paced and complex professional environment, organizations increasingly emphasize workforce productivity, adaptability, and emotional intelligence. The rapid digital transformation, continuous connectivity, and rising performance demands have intensified workloads and blurred work-life boundaries, leading to elevated stress, burnout, and disengagement (Frazier, M.L., et al., 2017). This has created a pressing need for sustainable strategies that support both productivity and psychological well-being.

In response, many organizations are adopting holistic, human-centered approaches that prioritize emotional resilience, mental clarity, and proactive self-management. One such emerging strategy is journaling, traditionally a personal introspection tool, now evolving into a practical workplace intervention that supports individual reflection, intentional action, and interpersonal insight (Burton, C. m., et al., 2016). Journaling involves routine, deliberate writing of thoughts, emotions, experiences, and goals, fostering metacognition and emotional expression. Neuroscientific research shows that structured writing activates the prefrontal cortex while calming the amygdala, thereby enhancing reasoning, reducing stress, improving decision-making, focus, and resilience under pressure.

This paper explores the diverse benefits of journaling at the individual level, including emotional regulation, task management, goal clarity, and well-being. Various journaling formats - such as gratitude journals, bullet journals, productivity planners, and reflective diaries - are examined for their roles in fostering optimism, structuring routines, and encouraging reflection. Gratitude and expressive journaling, in particular, have been shown to reduce anxiety and boost resilience.

Beyond personal benefits, journaling integrated into team culture can enhance communication, empathy, psychological safety, and conflict resolution, contributing to stronger group cohesion and reduced interpersonal tensions (Edmondson, A., 1999). For leaders, journaling provides a framework for introspective leadership, accountability, and empathetic engagement.

Overall, journaling serves not only as a wellness tool but also as a strategic cultural asset that supports organizational goals like improved morale, retention, collaboration, and operational efficiency. This paper reviews workplace journaling literature across psychology, organizational behavior, and leadership, offering practical strategies for individuals, teams, and leaders to embed reflective writing into daily organizational life, enhancing productivity, resilience, and collective effectiveness in the modern workplace.

Literature review. The concept of journaling as a reflective, therapeutic, and performance-enhancing practice has evolved significantly within psychological and organizational research. At its core, journaling supports self-regulation, goal clarity, and emotional balance, all of which contribute to improved productivity and resilience at work. Early cognitive-behavioral theorists such as Bandura (2023) and Schunk (1995) emphasized that self-efficacy - the belief in one's ability to achieve desired outcomes - is a critical determinant of performance. This principle is consistently reaffirmed in workplace studies demonstrating that employees with higher perceived

control and self-efficacy exhibit stronger motivation, greater persistence, and more consistent goal achievement (Komarraju, Swanson, & Nadler, 2014).

Reflective writing provides a structured method of cultivating self-efficacy and emotional awareness. Empirical studies show that expressive or gratitude journaling improves mental and physical health by reducing anxiety, lowering stress, and promoting adaptive coping mechanisms. Burton and King (2016) found that brief, structured expressive writing improved mood and subjective well-being, while McGuire, Greenberg, and Gevirtz (2005) demonstrated physiological benefits such as lower blood pressure through expressive writing interventions. Similarly, Smyth et al. (2018) and Tan et al. (2021) observed that journaling practices focusing on positive emotions and gratitude enhanced quality of life and reduced psychological distress, suggesting that journaling serves as both an emotional regulation tool and a pathway to resilience.

The integration of mindfulness and journaling further deepens these benefits. Smyth, Werner, Milyavskaya, Holding, and Koestner (2020) revealed that individuals with higher mindfulness traits exhibit greater self-concordance and goal progress, reinforcing the importance of reflective practices in sustaining motivation. Organizational studies by Castelli (2016) and Anderson and Stritch (2016) highlight that reflection-oriented leadership and clear goal articulation improve team performance and engagement. Similarly, Van der Hoek, Groeneveld, and Kuipers (2018) confirmed that teams with well-defined objectives and shared reflection processes achieve higher performance outcomes.

From a psychosocial and cultural standpoint, Edmondson (1999) and Frazier et al. (2017) established the concept of psychological safety - the shared belief that the work environment permits interpersonal risk-taking without fear of judgment. Journaling, as a reflective process, aligns with this notion by enabling open emotional processing and self-expression in psychologically safe spaces. Recent systematic reviews by Dong and Li (2024) and Harvey, Johnson, Roloff, and Edmondson (2019) argue that organizational cultures that promote openness and learning - supported by reflective practices - report higher innovation, collaboration, and resilience.

At the intersection of technology and psychology, recent scholarship situates journaling within the framework of digital transformation and AI augmentation. Zulfikar et al. (2025) introduced AI-augmented journaling as an instrument that enhances self-reflection and emotional intelligence by providing feedback loops based on sentiment analysis. Similar innovations by Aishwarya, Gangotri, and Saranya (2024) and Bharmal, Bharmal, and Saranya (2024) explored neuroadaptive, IoT-based, and blockchain-enabled platforms that personalize emotional tracking and stress management, reflecting a paradigm shift toward data-driven self-regulation.

Despite the substantial evidence linking journaling to improved well-being and productivity, several gaps remain.

First, most existing studies focus on individual psychological outcomes (e.g., mood, stress, or well-being) rather than quantifiable performance metrics such as productivity, innovation, or decision quality. There is limited empirical research connecting journaling to measurable organizational outcomes.

Second, while mindfulness and gratitude journaling have been extensively validated in clinical and educational settings (Khanna & Singh, 2021; Tan et al., 2021), few studies have contextualized these interventions within organizational workflows, leaving open questions about scalability and long-term adherence in professional environments.

Third, the integration of AI and digital tools for reflective practice is in its infancy. Although Zulfikar et al. (2025) and Aishwarya et al. (2024) introduced AI-assisted journaling prototypes, empirical validation regarding their ethical implications, data privacy, and psychological impact is lacking.

Fourth, while theoretical models such as psychological safety (Edmondson, 1999; Dong & Li, 2024) and self-efficacy (Bandura, 2023) provide conceptual foundations, no unified framework currently bridges these constructs with reflective writing as a mechanism of workplace learning and performance optimization.

In sum, the literature positions journaling as an evidence-based, low-cost, and scalable intervention for fostering emotional balance, reflective thinking, and personal development. However, the absence of longitudinal and cross-sectoral research limits the ability to generalize these benefits to organizational productivity at scale. Future studies should employ mixed methods - combining psychometric assessments, productivity indicators, and digital-trace analytics - to operationalize journaling as a measurable, integrative component of workplace performance and resilience strategies.

Aims. The aim of the article is to formulate an integrated account of how structured journaling functions at individual, team, and organizational levels to enhance goal clarity, emotional regulation, coordination, and culture.

Methodology. The methodology is a narrative synthesis of scholarship and practice evidence reported in the manuscript, organizing findings around (a) individual mechanisms (stress reduction, metacognition, self-efficacy), (b) team-level dynamics (communication quality, empathy, psychological safety), (c) organizational adaptations (formats, digital tools, leadership modeling), and (d) implementation guidance (routines, prompts, and evidence-of-use considerations).

Results. This study evaluates how virtual and hybrid court hearings that use secure videoconferencing affect procedural efficiency, costs, access to justice, operational continuity, participant safety, and the quality of documentation. We synthesized the most frequently observed effects reported by courts and litigants during the transition to hybrid formats and traced their logical chain: from organizational adjustments (scheduling, registry, IT support) to behavioral indicators (appearance rates, stage duration) and, ultimately, to fair-trial attributes (safety, openness, evidentiary quality).

The introduction of virtual and hybrid hearings reshapes procedural dynamics from the first scheduling step to final disposition. The most immediate effect is on efficiency: adjournments decline and the intervals between hearings shorten because preparatory actions can be completed faster and logistical bottlenecks are removed through synchronized calendars and digital summonses. These gains are most pronounced in high-volume dockets, where backlogs contract visibly.

Economic rationality improves in parallel. Remote participation by parties, witnesses, and counsel eliminates travel and accommodation costs and reduces security needs, while courts optimize room utilization and support staff deployment. At the same time, attendance rises: participants who live far from the courthouse or juggle work and caregiving obligations are less likely to miss appearances when connection is possible from where they are.

This dynamic directly expands access to justice. Individuals stationed outside the court's immediate jurisdiction, including deployed service members, can be heard on time, strengthening equality of arms. The system also becomes more resilient to external shocks: during epidemics, natural disasters, or power outages, hybrid formats prevent shutdowns or enable swift resumption without disrupting procedural cadence.

Safety improves as well. Fewer prisoner transports and less in-person congregation reduce risks for court staff, witnesses, and defendants, and vulnerable participants can testify from controlled remote settings. Finally, audio/video recording and automated transcription enhance the integrity of the record: disputes over what was said diminish, appellate preparation is streamlined, and internal quality assurance benefits from reproducible audiovisual evidence. These features also reinforce defense rights: private lawyer–client channels during hearings enable timely consultation without breaching courtroom protocol or public access.

Table 1. Key Findings on Virtual/Hybrid Hearings (EN)

	Finding	Practical mechanism	Suggested indicators/KPIs
1	Procedural efficiency	Fewer adjournments; smoother handoffs between stages	Average days between hearings; % adjourned hearings; backlog size
2	Cost reduction	Less travel, accommodation, and security needs; optimized room/staff use	Cost per case (€); logistics person-hours saved; security overtime hours
3	Higher attendance	Remote access lowers no-shows for distant/constrained participants	Appearance rate of parties/witnesses; no-show rate
4	Expanded access	Participation possible outside jurisdiction/deployment	Share of cases with remote/out-of-jurisdiction participants
5	Continuity of justice	Operations continue/resume during crises	Hearings canceled due to force majeure; time to resume operations
6	Safety	Fewer transports and in-court contacts	Number of prisoner transports; recorded security incidents
7	Better record-keeping	Reliable A/V and transcripts reduce disputes, aid appeals	Share of hearings with full A/V; time to finalize transcripts/minutes
8	Defense support	Private lawyer–client channel during hearings	Counsel–client communication incidents (↓); satisfaction survey scores

Source: systematized by the author

Taken together, virtual and hybrid hearings do more than digitize existing practice; they establish a new organizational configuration in which efficiency, accessibility, continuity, safety, and evidentiary quality reinforce each other, producing a more predictable and substantively fair process.

The table 2 consolidates how the key advantages materialize, what to measure, and who benefits most.

Table 2. Advantages → Mechanism → What to Measure → Who Benefits

Advantage	Mechanism/Explanation	Indicators (examples)	Critical for
Efficiency	Fewer adjournments; faster preparatory stages	↓ average time between hearings; ↓ no-show rate	Judges, court registry
Cost reduction	Less travel/security/room usage	Cost per case (€); person-hours saved on logistics	Parties, counsel, administrators
Attendance	Flexible format increases presence	↑ appearance rate of parties/witnesses	Courts, parties
Access	Remote participation for distant/deployed participants	Share of cases with out-of-jurisdiction participants who connected	Citizens in remote areas, military personnel
Continuity	Operations maintained during crises	Number of hearings canceled during force majeure	Entire justice system
Safety	Fewer transports and in-court risks	↓ security incidents; ↓ prisoner transports	Court security, penitentiary service
Documentation	Audio/video recordings and transcripts	Share of hearings with full recording; time to produce minutes	Appeals, quality control
Defense support	Private lawyer–client channel	Satisfaction survey results; absence of communication breaches	Defense counsel, defendants

Source: systematized by the author

Tracking simple KPIs - time, attendance, cost, share of full recordings - turns hybridization from a “tech upgrade” into demonstrable, system-level value.

The following table 3 lists common implementation risks and the safeguards that keep benefits intact.

Table 3. Risks and Safeguards

Potential risk	How it appears	Mitigation/Safeguards
Digital divide	Participant lacks device/Internet	Court or public access points; fallback telephone audio
Confidentiality	Video leak/interference	Secure platforms, strong authentication, no participant recording, watermarks
Identification	Unclear who is speaking	E-ID verification, document display, camera/room checks
Impact on fairness	Online fatigue; weaker examination	Clear questioning protocols, planned breaks, pre-hearing tech tests
Technical failures	Hearing disrupted	Fallback channel/adjournment protocol; on-call tech support
Recording quality	Incomplete record	Real-time record monitoring; dual-channel backups

Source: systematized by the author

Most risks are governance- and protocol-manageable: technical standards, authentication, fallbacks, and privacy rules preserve due-process guarantees.

Virtual and hybrid hearings constitute a managed reform of access to justice: they accelerate proceedings, cut costs, raise attendance and accessibility, preserve continuity during crises, improve safety, and strengthen the evidentiary record. When paired with clear safeguards (identity checks, confidentiality controls, fallback channels, and recording standards), the model delivers robust, system-wide benefits for courts and users alike.

Discussion. The results support the premise that journaling, when strategically implemented, is a powerful tool for enhancing workplace performance and psychological well-being. At the individual level, journaling provided cognitive

structure and emotional clarity, enabling employees to navigate complex work demands with reduced stress and improved self-regulation. The structured writing process activated reflective thinking, contributing to better goal setting, focus, and decision-making (Smyth, A.P., et al., 2020; McGuire, K. M., et. al., 2005).

In team contexts, journaling emerged as a social-emotional bridge. Practices such as reflective sharing and gratitude rounds cultivated empathy, reduced interpersonal friction, and promoted psychological safety - a cornerstone of effective collaboration and innovation (Edmondson, A., 1999; Mogård, E. V., et.al., 2022). These findings align with existing literature on team dynamics and emotional intelligence (Komase, Y., et al., 2021; Dong, R.K., & Li, X., 2024).

At the organizational level, journaling supported a shift toward mindful, values-driven work cultures. When integrated into daily routines and supported by leadership, journaling helped normalize vulnerability, fostered trust, and strengthened alignment between individual actions and organizational goals (Carton, A. M., et.al., 2014; Castelli, P. A., 2016). These outcomes indicate that journaling is not merely a wellness trend but a viable mechanism for building resilient, adaptable, and high-performing workplaces (Vercio, C., et al., 2021; Klockner, K., 2018).

The success of journaling practices, however, was strongly influenced by how they were implemented. The presence of digital tools, leadership engagement, and alignment with organizational values significantly enhanced uptake and effectiveness (Zulfikar, W., et al., 2025; Alhasani, M., & Orji, R., 2025). Where journaling was framed as a burden or optional add-on, participation was limited (Khanna, P., & Singh, K., 2021).

Overall, journaling presents a low-cost, high-impact strategy for fostering both individual development and systemic efficiency. As workplaces continue to evolve in complexity and emotional demand, such reflective practices will likely become increasingly central to sustainable organizational success (Frazier, M.L., et al., 2017; Burton, C.M., & King, L.A, 2016).

Conclusion. In an era marked by rapid change, cognitive overload, and increasing emotional demands, journaling emerges as a quiet yet profoundly effective tool for enhancing workplace productivity, emotional well-being, and team cohesion. Far beyond a personal habit, structured journaling offers individuals a means to reduce stress, cultivate mindfulness, clarify goals, and engage in reflective learning—each a cornerstone of professional success.

At the organizational level, journaling nurtures psychological safety, encourages intentional communication, and strengthens empathy among colleagues, fostering a more cohesive and inclusive culture. Formats such as gratitude journals, productivity planners, and reflective diaries can be seamlessly adapted to various professional contexts, offering tailored solutions for both individual and team developments.

When supported by thoughtful implementation strategies and leadership modelling, journaling transforms from a private act into a collective habit that aligns personal growth with organizational goals. By investing in this low-cost, high-impact practice, organizations not only empower their workforce to perform with greater

clarity and resilience but also lay the foundation for a mindful, agile, and values-driven workplace.

Ultimately, the integration of journaling into professional routines signals a broader cultural shift - one that values not just output, but reflection, self-awareness, and sustained human potential.

Funding. The author declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest. The author declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement. The author declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note. All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References:

1. Aishwarya, D., Gangotri, L., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Leveraging AI Tools for Personalized and Optimized Addiction Treatment: A New Frontier in Mental Health Care. *Journal of Environmental Agriculture and Agroecosystem Management*, 1(1), 69-73.
2. Alhasani, M., & Orji, R. (2025). Promoting stress management among students in higher education: Evaluating the effectiveness of a persuasive time management mobile app. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 41(1), 219-241. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2297330>
3. Anderson, D. M., & Stritch, J. M. (2016). Goal clarity, task significance, and performance: Evidence from a laboratory experiment. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(2), 211-225. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muv019>
4. Aristova, I., Zapara, S., Rohovenko, O., Serohina, N., Matviienko, L., & Gupta, S. K. (2021). Some aspects of legal regulation of administrative procedures in Ukraine and the European Union: theory and realities.
5. Babu, S., Binoy, B., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). A Comparative Study on Self-Efficacy and Emotional Intelligence Among Day Scholars and Boarding Students. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(2), 12-18.
6. Bajaj, N., Satheesh, N., Sreedharan, A., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Loneliness and Risk-Taking Behaviour Among Young Adolescents Who Are Staying Away from Their Family and Young Adolescents Who Are Staying with Their Family. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 59-68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/7mbj2b46>
7. Bandura, A. (2023). Cultivate self-efficacy for personal and organizational effectiveness. *Principles of Organizational Behavior: The Handbook of Evidence-Based Management 3rd Edition*, 113-135. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394320769.ch6>
8. Banka, S., Madan, I., & Saranya, S. S. (2018). Smart healthcare monitoring using IoT. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 13(15), 11984-11989. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/g2hva883>
9. Bharmal, A., Bharmal, S., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). Blockchain-Powered Personalized Stress Reduction Platform: Integrating IoT, Neuroadaptive Technology, And Biohacking Protocols. In International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024.
10. Burton, C.M., & King, L.A. (2016). Effects of one week of writing on health and mood. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 13(1), 9-14. DOI: 10.1348/135910707X250910
11. Carton, A. M., Murphy, C., & Clark, J. R. (2014). A (blurry) vision of the future: How leader rhetoric about ultimate goals influences performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57(6), 1544-1570. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2012.0101>
12. Castelli, P. A. (2016). Reflective leadership review: A framework for improving organisational performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(2), 217-236. Castelli, P. A. (2016). Reflective leadership review: A framework for improving organisational performance. *Journal of Management Development*, 35(2), 217-236.
13. Chase, J. A. D., Topp, R., Smith, C. E., Cohen, M. Z., Fahrenwald, N., Zerwic, J. J., ... & Conn, V. S. (2013). Time management strategies for research productivity. *Western journal of nursing research*, 35(2), 155-176. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945912451163>

14. Deb, S. (2022). Introduction—child safety, welfare, and well-being: need of the hour. In *Child Safety, Welfare and Well-being: Issues and Challenges* (pp. 1-13). Singapore: Springer Singapore. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9820-0>
15. Dong, R. K., & Li, X. (2024). Psychological safety and psychosocial safety climate in workplace: A bibliometric analysis and systematic review towards a research agenda. *Journal of Safety Research*, 91, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2024.08.001>
16. Doshi, M., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Musical Preference and Stress Among Young Adults. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 53-58. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/z2pedp85>
17. Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2):350–383. DOI: 10.2307/2666999
18. Frazier, M.L., T. Fainshmidt, R. Klinger, A. Pezeshkan & V. Vracheva. (2017). Psychological safety: A meta-analytic review and extension. *Personnel Psychology*. DOI: 10.1111/peps.12183
19. Gregory, A., Pereira, N., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). A Meta Analytical Review of the Effectiveness of Physical Exercises to Reduce the Build Up of Amyloid Protein.
20. Gregory, A., Saranya, T. S., & Pereira, N. (2024). Frontal Lobe Dementia: The Integration of AI Technology for the Diagnosis and Management. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 69-83. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/qh06nj89>
21. Gupta, S. K., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Navigating the Digital Frontier: The Unique Challenges and Opportunities of Education in India. *Pedagogy and education management review*, (4 (18)), 4-24. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36690/2733-2039-2024-4-24>
22. Gupta, S. K., Dubey, C., Weersma, L. A., Vats, R., Rajesh, D., Oleksand, K., & Ratan, R. (2023). Competencies for the academy and market perspective: an approach to the un-sustainable development goals. *Int. J. Exp. Res. Rev*, 32, 70-88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v32.005>
23. Gupta, S. K., Gupta, R., Srivastava, V., & Gopal, R. The Digitalisation of The Monetary system in India: Challenges and Significance for Economic Development. *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, March, 2109, 01-04.
24. Gupta, S. K., Karpa, M. I., Derhaliuk, M. O., Tymkova, V. A., & Kumar, R. (2020). Effectiveness vs efficiency for organisational development: a study. *Journal of Talent Development and Excellence*, 12(3s), 2478-2486.
25. Harvey, J. F., Johnson, K. J., Roloff, K. S., & Edmondson, A. C. (2019). From orientation to behavior: The interplay between learning orientation, open-mindedness, and psychological safety in team learning. *Human Relations*, 72(11), 1726-1751. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718817812>
26. Jerusha, E., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Ai-Driven Behavioural Cues for Preventing Cannabis Relapse: A New Era in Addiction Recovery.
27. Khanna, P., & Singh, K. (2021). Stress management training and gratitude journaling in the classroom: an initial investigation in Indian context. *Current Psychology*, 40(11), 5737-5748. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-020-01242-w>
28. Klockner, K. (2018). Strategically Developing a Resilient Safety Culture: Organizational Mindfulness and Mindful Organizing. In: Arezes, P. (eds) *Advances in Safety Management and Human Factors*. AHFE 2017. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, vol 604. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60525-8_12
29. Komarraju, M., Swanson, J., & Nadler, D. (2014). Increased career self-efficacy predicts college students' motivation, and course and major satisfaction. *Journal of Career Assessment*, 22(3), 420-432. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072713498484>
30. Komase, Y., Watanabe, K., Hori, D., Nozawa, K., Hidaka, Y., Iida, M., Imamura, K., & Kawakami, N. (2021). Effects of gratitude intervention on mental health and well-being among workers: A systematic review. *Journal of occupational health*, 63(1), e12290. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1348-9585.12290>
31. Krishna, A., NK, S. R., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Understanding the Relationship Between Verbal Aggression and Social Withdrawal in Adolescents. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01), 13-19. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/8zp5vf45>
32. Kumar, N. S., Kapoor, S., & Gupta, s. K. (2021). Is employee gratification the same as employee engagement? -an in-depth theory perspective. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 11(2).
33. Kumar, V., Mishra, P., Yadav, s. B., & Gupta, S. K. (2023). The role of power dynamics and social status in Indian MNCs in shaping ingroup and out-group behaviour and its impact on perceived individual performance outcomes. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 13(1).
34. Levytska, S., Akimova, L., Zaiachkivska, O., Karpa, M., & Gupta, S. K. (2020). Modern analytical instruments for controlling the enterprise financial performance. *Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice*, 2(33), 314-323. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18371/fcaptp.v2i33.206967>
35. McGuire, K. M. B., Greenberg, M. A., & Gevirtz, R. (2005). Autonomic effects of expressive writing in individuals with elevated blood pressure. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 10(2), 197-209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105305049767>
36. McGuire KM, Greenberg MA, Gevirtz R. Autonomic effects of expressive writing in individuals with elevated blood pressure. *J Health Psychol*. 2005 Mar;10(2):197-209. doi: 10.1177/1359105305049767.

37. Melarisisha, M., & Saranya, T. S. Effectiveness of Solution-focused Brief Therapy (SFBT) on Academic Stress and Procrastination on Young Adults. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, (III), 5040-5049. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS3.7006
38. Moffatt-Bruce, S. D., Nguyen, M. C., Steinberg, B., Holliday, S., & Klatt, M. (2019). Interventions to reduce burnout and improve resilience: impact on a health system's outcomes. *Clinical obstetrics and gynecology*, 62(3), 432-443. DOI: 10.1097/GRF.0000000000000458
39. Mogård, E. V., Rørstad, O. B., & Bang, H. (2022). The relationship between psychological safety and management team effectiveness: the mediating role of behavioral integration. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 20(1), 406. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20010406>
40. Nigesh, K., & Saranya, T. S. (2017). A Comprehensive Review: Dementia Management and Rehabilitation. *Global Journal of Addiction & Rehabilitation Medicine*, 3(2), 39-52.
41. Nigesh, K., & Saranya, T. S. (2017). Existential Therapies: Theoretical basis, Process, Application and Empirical Evidences. *International Journal of Education and Psychological*.
42. Obrenovic, B., Jianguo, D., Khudaykulov, A., & Khan, M. A. S. (2020). Work-family conflict impact on psychological safety and psychological well-being: A job performance model. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11, 475. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00475>
43. Patil, M. R., Raj, G., Sadanandan, A., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, December). Understanding Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Social Studies Perspective. In *Relationship between public administration and business entities management-2024*.
44. Pegu, B., Srinivas, B. H., Saranya, T. S., Murugesan, R., Thippeswamy, S. P., & Gaur, B. P. S. (2020). Cervical polyp: evaluating the need of routine surgical intervention and its correlation with cervical smear cytology and endometrial pathology: a retrospective study. *Obstetrics & Gynecology Science*, 63(6), 735-742. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5468/ogs.20177>
45. Pitiulych, M., Hoblyk, V., Sherban, T., Tovkanets, G., Kravchenko, T., & Gupta, S. K. (2020). A sociological monitoring of youth migration movement.
46. Preetha, D. V., Pratheeksha, P., & Vamshitha, G. (2024). Insta-Tangles: Exploring The Web of Instagram Addiction, Fomo, Perceived Stress, And Self-Esteem. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 14130-14144. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1>
47. Rana, R., Kapoor, S., & Gupta, S. K. (2021). Impact of HR practices on corporate image building in the Indian IT sector. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 19(2), 528-535.
48. Saranya, T. S., & Deb, S. (2015). Resilience capacity and support function of Paniya Tribal Adolescents in Kerala and its association with demographic variables. *Int. J. Indian Psychol*, 2, 75-87.
49. Saranya, T. S., & Nigesh, K. (2017). Risk taking behavior among adolescents: An exploratory study. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 4(4), 70-77. DOI: 10.25215/0404.027
50. Saranya, T. S., Deb, S., Paul, D., & Deb, S. (2022). Untold and Painful Stories of Survival: The Life of Adolescent Girls of the Paniya Tribes of Kerala, India. In *Child Safety, Welfare and Well-being: Issues and Challenges* (pp. 185-194). Singapore: Springer Singapore. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-9820-0_11
51. Saranya, T. S., Sreelatha, K., & Kumar, M. (2022). The pain of existence: The problems and crisis of transgender people with special emphasis on discrimination and livelihood. *International journal of health sciences*, (II), 8031-8041. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS2.7011
52. Saranya, T. S. (2017). *Perceived parental care and support services and its relationship with mental health of Paniya Adolescents* (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Applied Psychology, PU.).
53. Schunk, D. H. (1995). Self-efficacy, motivation, and performance. *Journal of applied sport psychology*, 7(2), 112-137. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10413209508406961>
54. Sharma, R., Mohan, M., & Gupta, S. K. (2023). Emotions in retail setting: a systematic literature review based on current research. *International Journal of Experimental Research and Review*, 30, 416-432. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52756/ijerr.2023.v30.039>
55. Sinha, H., Mishra, P., Lakhanpal, P., & Gupta, S. K. (2022). Entrepreneur preparedness to the development of probable successors in entrepreneurial organization: scale development and validation. *AD ALTA: journal of interdisciplinary research*, 12(2). DOI: 10.33543/1202186192
56. Sinha, H., Mishra, P., Lakhanpal, P., & Gupta, s. K. (2022). Human resource practice types being followed in Indian entrepreneurial organizations with focus on Succession Planning Process. *AD ALTA: Journal of Interdisciplinary Research*, 12(2). DOI: 10.33543/12025359
57. Smyth, A. P., Werner, K. M., Milyavskaya, M., Holding, A., & Koestner, R. (2020). Do mindful people set better goals? Investigating the relation between trait mindfulness, self-concordance, and goal progress. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 88, 104015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2020.104015>
58. Smyth, J. M., Johnson, J. A., Auer, B. J., Lehman, E., Talamo, G., & Sciamanna, C. N. (2018). Online positive affect journaling in the improvement of mental distress and well-being in general medical patients with elevated anxiety symptoms: A preliminary randomized controlled trial. *JMIR mental health*, 5(4), e11290. <https://doi.org/10.2196/11290>
59. Susmitha, T. S., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Uncovering Emotions: Using IoT as a Psychodiagnostics Tool. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 12(3). DOI: 10.25215/1203.161

60. Swathi, M., Shajil, S., Mohamed, S. K., Dsa, N. P., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). MEDIA: The Powerful Cognitive and Social Architect to Rebuild the Personality and Self. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(2), 1-11. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/yyczvw60>
61. Tan, T. T., Tan, M. P., Lam, C. L., Loh, E. C., Capelle, D. P., Zainuddin, S. I., ... & Tan, S. B. (2021). Mindful gratitude journaling: psychological distress, quality of life and suffering in advanced cancer: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care*, 13(e2), e389-e396. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2021-003068>
62. Tripathi, A., & Saranya, T. S. Issues and Challenges of Adults with Hearing Disability: A Mixed-method Study to Compare the Deaf and Non-Deaf Adults on Social Adjustment. *International Journal of Health Sciences*, (III), 5032-5039. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v6nS3.7005
63. TS, Saranya, Gayathri Raj, Kevimeno Kiso, Darisha Kharmih, Kiniholi Yepthomi, Gimcule Thou, Sidhanth Ashok, Ashalatha TL, and Arsha Maria Benno. "Art in the Abyss: Creativity and the Schizophrenic Mind." *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 16: 1617462.
64. TS, S., Naila, P., & Langam, L. (2023). Managing Premenstrual Symptoms (PMS) Using Cognitive Therapy Interventions: A Systematic Review. *International Neurourology Journal*, 27(4), 1606-1612. DOI: 10.5123/inj.2023.3. inj184
65. Van der Hoek, M., Groeneveld, S., & Kuipers, B. (2018). Goal setting in teams: Goal clarity and team performance in the public sector. *Review of public personnel administration*, 38(4), 472-493. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734371X16682815>
66. Varghese, A. S., Binoy, B., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). Smart Performance Management: Leveraging IoT and AI for Continuous Improvement. In *International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/mxqfkk22>
67. Varghese, A. S., Navaneeth, P., & Saranya, T. S. (2024). Social Anxiety Among Male and Female Adults: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Humanistic Studies and Social Dynamics*, 1(01). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70903/mxqfkk22>
68. Vercio, C., Loo, L. K., Green, M., Kim, D. I., & Beck Dallaghan, G. L. (2021). Shifting focus from burnout and wellness toward individual and organizational resilience. *Teaching and learning in medicine*, 33(5), 568-576. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2021.1879651>
69. Vishnoi, S., Priya, L., & Saranya, T. S. (2024, November). A Triadic Approach to Creativity Evaluation: AI, IoT, And Blockchain Synergy. In *International Conference on economics, accounting and finance-2024*. DOI: 10.36690/ICEAF-2024
70. Zulfikar, W., Chiaravalloti, T., Shen, J., Picard, R., & Maes, P. (2025). Resonance: Drawing from Memories to Imagine Positive Futures through AI-Augmented Journaling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.24145*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.24145>