

CHAPTER 3

THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF MODERN PSYCHOLOGY

CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY IN THE EU: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BEST PRACTICES

Iryna Burlakova¹, Oksana Chernysh², Tetiana Kondes³

¹Doctor of Science (Psychology), Professor, Professor of the Department of Psychology and Social Security, Zhytomyr Polytechnic State University, Kyiv, Ukraine, e-mail: burlakova22irina@gmail.com; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6043-4359>

²Ph.D. (Psychology), Associate Professor, Dean of the Faculty of Pedagogical Technologies and Lifelong Learning, Zhytomyr Polytechnic State University, Zhytomyr, Ukraine, e-mail: Chernyshoxana@gmail.com, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2010-200X>

³Ph.D. (Psychology), Associate Professor, Psychology Department, "KROK" University, Kyiv, Ukraine, e-mail: TetianaKV@krok.edu.ua, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8514-9389>

Citation:

Burlakova, I., Chernysh, O., & Kondes, T. (2024). CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY IN THE EU: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BEST PRACTICES. *Public Administration and Law Review*, (2(18), 77–85. <https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5216-2024-2-77-85>

Received: May 21, 2024

Approved: June 24, 2024

Published: June 30, 2024



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY-NC 4.0\) license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)



Abstract. Clinical psychology plays a vital role in promoting mental health and well-being across the European Union (EU). With diverse cultural, social, and systemic contexts, understanding the best practices in clinical psychology is crucial for improving mental health care services. This article provides a comprehensive comparative analysis of clinical psychology practices across various EU member states, highlighting effective methodologies, training standards, and systemic frameworks. The primary aim of this article is to explore and evaluate the therapeutic approaches, training and professional development standards, and systemic frameworks utilized in clinical psychology across different EU countries. By identifying and comparing these practices, the article seeks to highlight the most effective methods and propose recommendations for enhancing mental health care in the region. The study employs a mixed-methods approach, including a thorough literature review, structured surveys, and semi-structured interviews with clinical psychologists, policymakers, and experts from various EU member states. Additionally, case studies from selected countries provide detailed insights into practical applications and outcomes. Quantitative data is analyzed using statistical methods, while qualitative data is interpreted through thematic analysis. The analysis reveals significant variation in therapeutic approaches, with the UK and Germany emphasizing evidence-based practices such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), while Sweden focuses on preventive strategies and public health integration. Training and professional development standards are most rigorous in Germany and the UK, ensuring high-quality practice. The Netherlands and Italy showcase the benefits of innovative and community-based care models. Overall, countries with robust mental health policies and integrated care systems tend to achieve better clinical outcomes. The findings underscore the importance of adopting evidence-based practices, enhancing training standards, and fostering therapeutic diversity to address complex mental health issues. Cross-border collaboration and knowledge exchange among EU member states can further refine and harmonize clinical psychology practices.

Keywords: clinical psychology; therapeutic approaches; cognitive behavioral therapy; evidence-based practice; mental health care; psychodynamic therapy; cross-border collaboration.

JEL Classification: I14, I31

Formulas: 0; **fig. 0;** **tabl. 2;** **bibl. 13**

Introduction. Clinical psychology, a vital branch of psychology dedicated to diagnosing and treating mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders, plays a crucial role in promoting mental health and well-being. Within the European Union (EU), a region characterized by diverse cultural, social, and economic landscapes, the practice of clinical psychology varies significantly. This article, "Clinical Psychology in the EU: A Comparative Analysis of Best Practices," aims to explore and compare the methodologies, therapeutic approaches, and systemic frameworks employed by clinical psychologists across different EU member states. By examining these variations, the article seeks to identify and highlight the most effective practices, thereby fostering a better understanding of how to enhance mental health services and outcomes throughout the region. This comparative analysis not only underscores the importance of tailored psychological interventions but also sheds light on the collaborative potential for integrating best practices across borders to advance the field of clinical psychology in the EU.

Literature Review. The field of clinical psychology within the European Union (EU) presents a complex and varied landscape, shaped by diverse cultural, social, and economic factors. To understand the best practices in this field, it is essential to review existing literature that examines the differences and similarities in clinical psychology practices across EU member states.

One significant study by Kazantzis, Whittington, and Dattilio (2010) examined the integration of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) across Europe, highlighting how different countries adapt this widely accepted method to fit their cultural contexts. This study underscores the importance of cultural sensitivity in clinical practice and the necessity of adapting therapeutic techniques to meet local needs.

A comparative analysis by Lunt, Bartram, and Day (2012) explored the standards of training and professional development for clinical psychologists in various EU countries. Their findings revealed substantial variations in educational requirements and continuous professional development practices, suggesting that standardization could enhance the quality of psychological care across the region.

Furthermore, a comprehensive review by Evers, Lucassen, and Verhoeven (2016) focused on the application of psychotherapeutic approaches within the EU, comparing psychodynamic therapy, CBT, and humanistic approaches. This review highlighted the effectiveness of integrating multiple therapeutic modalities to address complex mental health issues and the value of flexible treatment frameworks.

In addition, recent research by Huppert, Keverne, and Baylis (2018) examined the role of governmental policies and healthcare systems in shaping clinical psychology practices. Their study demonstrated that countries with robust mental health policies and funding structures tend to have better clinical outcomes, emphasizing the critical role of systemic support in effective psychological practice.

Overall, the literature indicates a rich diversity in clinical psychology practices across the EU, with each country contributing unique insights and methods. This diversity presents both challenges and opportunities for identifying best practices that can be shared and implemented across the region to enhance mental health care.

Aims. The primary aim of this article is to systematically explore and evaluate the various methodologies, therapeutic approaches, and systemic frameworks utilized in clinical psychology across different EU member states. This analysis seeks to identify the most effective practices, promoting the exchange of knowledge and enhancing the overall quality of mental health care within the EU.

The main objectives of the article are:

- *to compare therapeutic approaches* - analyze and compare the prevalent therapeutic approaches such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), psychodynamic therapy, humanistic therapy, and integrative methods used in various EU countries.
- *to assess cultural and societal influences* - investigate how cultural, societal, and economic factors influence clinical psychology practices in different regions of the EU.
- *to analyze systemic and policy frameworks* - study the role of governmental policies, healthcare systems, and funding structures in shaping the practice and effectiveness of clinical psychology across the EU.
- *to identify best practices* - identify and document the most effective practices and methodologies that lead to improved mental health outcomes, drawing from comparative analyses.
- *to promote knowledge exchange* - encourage the exchange of knowledge and best practices among clinical psychologists and policymakers within the EU to foster collaboration and improve mental health services.
- *to recommend policy and practice improvements* - provide evidence-based recommendations for policy changes and practice enhancements to support the advancement of clinical psychology in the EU.

Methodology. The methodology for this article encompasses a multi-faceted approach to gather, analyze, and interpret data on clinical psychology practices across various EU member states. The study employs both qualitative and quantitative research methods to ensure a comprehensive and robust analysis.

Results. Instruments used in clinical psychology are essential tools for assessing, diagnosing, and guiding the treatment of mental health conditions. These instruments can range from structured questionnaires and standardized tests to projective techniques and clinical interviews. Below is a detailed description of several key instruments widely used in clinical psychology across various countries (Table 1).

Beck depression inventory (BDI). The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) is a 21-item self-report questionnaire designed to measure the severity of depression in individuals. Each item describes a specific symptom of depression, and respondents rate the extent to which they have experienced these symptoms over the past week on a scale from 0 to 3.

State-trait anxiety inventory (STAI). The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) is a psychological assessment tool that differentiates between state anxiety (temporary condition) and trait anxiety (general tendency). Consists of two separate 20-item self-report scales: one measuring state anxiety and the other measuring trait anxiety.

Symptom checklist-90-R (SCL-90-R). The SCL-90-R is a 90-item self-report symptom inventory designed to measure psychological distress and symptoms of psychopathology. Covers nine primary symptom dimensions including somatization,

obsessive-compulsive, interpersonal sensitivity, depression, anxiety, hostility, phobic anxiety, paranoid ideation, and psychoticism.

Table 1. The description of key instruments widely used in clinical psychology across various countries

Instruments	Applications	Benefits
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI)	Used in clinical settings to assess the severity of depression and monitor changes over time. Employed in research to measure the effectiveness of treatment interventions.	Easy to administer and score. High reliability and validity. Provides clear, quantitative data that can guide treatment planning.
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)	Widely used in both clinical and research settings to assess anxiety levels. Helps in distinguishing between situational anxiety and chronic anxiety tendencies.	Comprehensive assessment of anxiety. Useful in tailoring specific interventions for anxiety-related issues. High validity and reliability.
Symptom Checklist-90-R (SCL-90-R)	Used for initial psychological assessment and as a screening tool. Helps in monitoring treatment progress and outcomes.	Broad coverage of psychological symptoms. High reliability and validity. Provides a comprehensive profile of an individual's psychological functioning.
Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS)	Used primarily in clinical settings to assess depression severity and monitor treatment response. Commonly employed in clinical trials for evaluating the efficacy of antidepressant treatments.	Sensitive to changes in depression severity. Quick and easy to administer. Provides reliable and valid measures of depression.
Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)	Used in clinical and research settings to ensure accurate and consistent psychiatric diagnoses. Helps in clinical trials for participant screening and diagnostic purposes.	High diagnostic accuracy and reliability. Short administration time compared to other diagnostic interviews. User-friendly for both clinicians and patients.
Rorschach Inkblot Test	Used to explore underlying thought patterns, emotional functioning, and personality structure. Often employed in psychodynamic and psychoanalytic assessments.	Provides deep insights into an individual's internal world. Can reveal unconscious aspects of personality. Useful for understanding complex and nuanced psychological issues.
Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI)	Widely used for diagnosing mental disorders and personality structure. Employed in forensic, clinical, and research settings.	Extensive research backing its validity and reliability. Comprehensive coverage of psychological and personality traits. Useful for diagnosing a wide range of psychological conditions.
Utrechtse Coping List (UCL)	Used in both clinical and research settings to understand individual coping mechanisms. Helps in developing personalized intervention strategies.	Provides detailed insights into coping behaviors. Useful for identifying maladaptive coping strategies and guiding therapy. High validity and reliability.

Source: systematized by the authors

Montgomery-Åsberg depression rating scale (MADRS). The MADRS is a clinician-rated scale designed to measure the severity of depressive episodes. Consists of 10 items, each rated on a scale from 0 to 6, covering various symptoms of depression such as sadness, tension, sleep disturbances, and appetite changes.

Mini international neuropsychiatric interview (MINI). The MINI is a structured diagnostic interview designed to assess the major Axis I psychiatric disorders in the DSM-IV and ICD-10. Short and efficient, typically taking about 15-30 minutes to administer.

Rorschach inkblot test. The Rorschach Inkblot Test is a projective psychological test consisting of 10 inkblots printed on cards (five in black and white, five in color). Participants are asked to describe what they see in each inkblot, and their responses are analyzed to gain insights into their thought processes, emotions, and personality characteristics.

Minnesota multiphasic personality inventory (MMPI). The MMPI is a standardized psychometric test of adult personality and psychopathology. Consists of 567 true-false statements covering a wide range of psychological conditions and personality attributes.

Utrechtse coping list (UCL). The UCL is a self-report questionnaire designed to assess coping styles and strategies in individuals. Consists of multiple items that respondents rate based on how they typically deal with stress and challenging situations.

The instruments described above represent a sample of the diverse tools used in clinical psychology across the EU. These instruments are essential for accurate assessment, diagnosis, and treatment planning. They help clinicians understand the complexities of mental health issues and provide evidence-based interventions tailored to individual needs. By employing these standardized instruments, clinical psychologists can ensure high-quality care and improve mental health outcomes for their clients.

Clinical psychology practices across the European Union (EU) exhibit significant diversity, shaped by cultural, educational, and systemic differences. This comparative analysis examines best practices in several EU member states, highlighting unique approaches and effective methodologies (Table 2).

The comparative analysis of clinical psychology practices in the EU reveals a diverse landscape with each country offering unique strengths and best practices. The UK and Sweden emphasize evidence-based therapies and systemic integration, while Germany and the Netherlands highlight diverse therapeutic modalities and innovative practices. Italy's strong tradition in psychodynamic therapy and community-based care showcases the importance of cultural and regional influences. By learning from these varied approaches, EU member states can enhance their clinical psychology practices, ultimately improving mental health outcomes across the region.

Table 2. The comparative analysis of best practices in several EU member states

	United Kingdom	Germany	Sweden	Netherlands	Italy
Therapeutic Approaches	The UK predominantly employs Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), which is extensively supported by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines. Other evidence-based therapies, such as Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT), are also widely used.	Germany has a diverse therapeutic landscape, with a strong presence of psychodynamic therapy, humanistic approaches, and CBT. There is a growing interest in integrative and multi-modal approaches.	Sweden emphasizes evidence-based therapies, with CBT being the most commonly used. There is also a significant focus on preventive mental health strategies and early intervention.	The Netherlands utilizes a broad spectrum of therapeutic approaches, including CBT, psychodynamic therapy, and systemic therapy. Innovative practices, such as e-mental health services, are increasingly adopted.	Italy has a rich tradition of psychodynamic and psychoanalytic therapy, alongside growing use of CBT and family therapy. There is an increasing interest in integrative and holistic approaches.
Training and Professional Development	The British Psychological Society (BPS) sets rigorous standards for education and training. Continuous professional development (CPD) is mandatory, with a strong emphasis on evidence-based practices.	German psychologists undergo extensive training, including a five-year undergraduate program followed by a three-year postgraduate program. The Psychotherapists' Act ensures standardized training and certification.	Psychologists undergo a five-year training program followed by a one-year clinical internship. The Swedish National Board of Health and Welfare regulates licensure and continuous professional development.	Psychologists complete a five-year educational program followed by supervised practice. The Dutch Association of Psychologists (NIP) oversees professional standards and CPD.	Psychologists must complete a five-year degree followed by a postgraduate specialization. Continuous professional development is encouraged but less regulated compared to other countries.
Systemic Frameworks	The National Health Service (NHS) provides a structured and accessible framework for mental health services. Integration of mental health services within primary care settings enhances accessibility and early intervention.	Health insurance covers a wide range of psychotherapeutic services, making therapy accessible to a large population. There is a strong emphasis on the therapeutic relationship and long-term treatment plans.	Mental health services are integrated into the public health system, ensuring broad access. Collaboration between primary care providers and mental health specialists is encouraged.	Mental health services are well-integrated within the healthcare system, with strong support for outpatient and community-based care. Emphasis on patient autonomy and shared decision-making in treatment planning.	Mental health services are regionally managed, leading to variations in service quality and availability. Community-based mental health services play a crucial role in care delivery.
Best Practices	Strong emphasis on evidence-based therapies and continuous evaluation of treatment efficacy. Comprehensive training and CPD requirements ensure high standards of clinical practice.	Diverse therapeutic modalities offer personalized treatment options. Robust training programs ensure comprehensive preparation for clinical practice.	Strong focus on prevention and early intervention. Integrated public health approach enhances accessibility and coordination of care.	Adoption of e-mental health services increases accessibility and flexibility. Emphasis on patient-centered care and shared decision-making.	Strong tradition of psychodynamic therapy provides deep insights into complex mental health issues. Community-based services enhance accessibility and social support.

Source: systematized by the authors

Discussion. The comparative analysis of clinical psychology practices across the European Union (EU) highlights a diverse array of methodologies, therapeutic approaches, and systemic frameworks. Each member state brings unique strengths and innovations to the field, contributing to the overall advancement of mental health care. This discussion synthesizes the key findings from the analysis and explores their implications for clinical practice, policy, and future research.

Evidence-based practice and standardization. One of the common themes across the UK, Germany, and Sweden is the strong emphasis on evidence-based practice. The use of standardized guidelines, such as those provided by NICE in the UK, ensures that clinical interventions are grounded in robust research. This approach not only enhances the efficacy of treatments but also facilitates consistency in clinical practice. However, the varying levels of standardization across the EU highlight the need for broader dissemination and adoption of evidence-based guidelines to ensure uniformity in the quality of care.

Training and professional development. The rigorous training and professional development standards observed in Germany and the UK are commendable. These countries emphasize extensive education, supervised practice, and continuous professional development (CPD), ensuring that clinical psychologists are well-prepared to meet diverse mental health needs. The success of these training models suggests that other EU countries could benefit from adopting similar standards. Enhancing cross-border training programs and certifications could also promote a more unified approach to clinical psychology within the EU.

Therapeutic diversity and innovation. The diversity of therapeutic approaches in Germany, the Netherlands, and Italy demonstrates the importance of flexibility in clinical practice. The integration of psychodynamic therapy, CBT, and innovative approaches such as e-mental health services allows for personalized care tailored to individual patient needs. This therapeutic diversity is crucial for addressing the complex and multifaceted nature of mental health issues. Encouraging the exchange of innovative practices and therapeutic techniques among EU countries could lead to more effective and adaptable mental health care solutions.

Preventive strategies and public health integration. Sweden's emphasis on preventive strategies and the integration of mental health services within the public health system provides a model for holistic care. Early intervention and prevention are key to reducing the long-term impact of mental health disorders. Other EU countries could benefit from adopting similar preventive frameworks, which not only improve patient outcomes but also reduce the burden on healthcare systems. Integrating mental health services with primary care, as seen in the UK, further supports comprehensive and accessible care.

Community-based and client-centered care. The Netherlands and Italy exemplify the benefits of community-based and client-centered care models. These approaches enhance accessibility and ensure that mental health services are tailored to the social and cultural contexts of patients. The emphasis on patient autonomy and shared decision-making in the Netherlands promotes engagement and satisfaction with treatment. Expanding community-based care and fostering patient-centered practices across the EU could significantly improve the reach and effectiveness of mental health services.

Systemic and policy frameworks. The role of systemic and policy frameworks in shaping clinical psychology practices cannot be overstated. Countries with robust mental health policies, such as Sweden and the UK, tend to have better clinical outcomes. Ensuring adequate funding, insurance coverage, and policy support is

essential for the sustainability and effectiveness of mental health services. Collaborative efforts at the EU level to harmonize policies and share successful frameworks could strengthen mental health care across member states.

Implications for future research and practice. The findings from this comparative analysis underscore the importance of ongoing research and collaboration in clinical psychology. Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term outcomes of different therapeutic approaches and the impact of systemic frameworks on mental health care. Cross-cultural studies could provide deeper insights into how cultural factors influence the effectiveness of various practices.

Furthermore, fostering a collaborative network among EU clinical psychologists could facilitate the exchange of best practices and innovations. Conferences, joint training programs, and research initiatives can help build a cohesive and advanced clinical psychology community within the EU.

The comparative analysis of clinical psychology practices in the EU reveals a rich landscape of methodologies and approaches, each contributing valuable insights and innovations. By embracing evidence-based practices, enhancing training standards, promoting therapeutic diversity, and integrating preventive and community-based care, EU member states can improve mental health outcomes for their populations. Continued collaboration and research are essential for advancing the field of clinical psychology and ensuring that best practices are shared and implemented across the region.

Conclusion. This article has explored the diverse landscape of clinical psychology across the European Union. Through a comprehensive examination of various therapeutic approaches, training standards, and systemic frameworks, several key themes and best practices have emerged.

The emphasis on evidence-based practice, particularly in countries like the United Kingdom and Germany, underscores the importance of grounding clinical interventions in robust research. This approach not only ensures the efficacy and consistency of treatments but also highlights the need for broader adoption of standardized guidelines across the EU.

Training and professional development are critical components of effective clinical psychology practice. The rigorous training models in Germany and the UK set a high standard, suggesting that similar frameworks could enhance the quality of mental health care in other EU countries. Cross-border training and certification programs could further promote a unified approach to clinical practice.

The diversity of therapeutic modalities observed, especially in Germany, the Netherlands, and Italy, illustrates the value of flexibility and personalization in treatment. Integrating various therapeutic approaches allows for more tailored and effective care, addressing the complex nature of mental health issues. Encouraging the exchange of innovative practices among EU countries can lead to more adaptable and comprehensive mental health solutions.

Preventive strategies and the integration of mental health services within public health systems, as seen in Sweden, are essential for reducing the long-term impact of mental health disorders. These approaches, along with community-based and client-

centered care models in the Netherlands and Italy, enhance accessibility and ensure that services are culturally and socially relevant. Expanding these frameworks across the EU could significantly improve mental health outcomes.

Systemic and policy frameworks play a crucial role in shaping clinical psychology practices. Countries with robust mental health policies tend to achieve better outcomes, highlighting the need for adequate funding, insurance coverage, and policy support. Collaborative efforts at the EU level to harmonize policies and share successful frameworks can strengthen mental health care across member states.

In conclusion, the comparative analysis reveals a wealth of best practices and innovative approaches in clinical psychology within the EU. By embracing these practices, enhancing collaboration, and continuing research, EU member states can improve the quality and accessibility of mental health care. This ongoing effort will ultimately benefit individuals and communities, promoting mental well-being across the region.

Author contributions. The authors contributed equally.

Disclosure statement. The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

References:

1. Barkham, M., Hardy, G. E., & Mellor-Clark, J. (2010). *Developing and Delivering Practice-Based Evidence: A Guide for the Psychological Therapies*. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Barlow, D. H. (2014). *Clinical Handbook of Psychological Disorders: A Step-by-Step Treatment Manual*. Guilford Press.
3. Burlakova, I.; Kondes, T.; Sheviakov, O. (2020). Cognitive approach to corporate well-being management. *International conference on Economics, Accounting and Finance*, Estonia, jul. 2020. Available at: <https://conf.scnchub.com/index.php/ICEAF/ICEAF/paper/view/82>
4. Burlakova, I., Sheviakov, O., & Kondes, T. (2021). Psychological predictors of the formation of health-preserving competentness of future specialists. *Public Administration and Law Review*, (4), 74–79. <https://doi.org/10.36690/2674-5216-2021-4-74>
5. Burlakova, I., Sheviakov, O., Kondes, T., Kornienko, V., Ostapenko, I., & Gerasimchuk, O. (2022). Social and pedagogical aspects of occupational health of specialists. *Pedagogy and Education Management Review*, (4), 38–43. <https://doi.org/10.36690/2733-2039-2022-4-38>.
6. Cooper, M. (2012). *Essential Research Findings in Counselling and Psychotherapy: The Facts Are Friendly*. SAGE Publications.
7. Evers, G., Lucassen, P., & Verhoeven, C. (2016). *Psychotherapeutic Approaches in Europe: Integration and Adaptation*. Routledge.
8. Huppert, F. A., Keverne, B., & Baylis, N. (2018). *The Science of Well-being: Integrating Neurobiology, Psychology, and Social Science*. Oxford University Press.
9. Kazantzis, N., Whittington, C., & Dattilio, F. M. (2010). *The Use of Homework Assignments in Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Working with Complex Cases*. Springer.
10. Lambert, M. J. (2013). *Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change*. John Wiley & Sons.
11. Lunt, I., Bartram, D., & Day, E. (2012). *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing in Europe*. European Federation of Psychologists' Associations.
12. Norcross, J. C., & Lambert, M. J. (2018). *Psychotherapy Relationships That Work: Volume 1: Evidence-Based Therapist Contributions*. Oxford University Press.
13. Wampold, B. E., & Imel, Z. E. (2015). *The Great Psychotherapy Debate: The Evidence for What Makes Psychotherapy Work*. Routledge.